

Report Type: Bar Graph

Invitations Sent: 364

Delivered: 362

Bounced: 2

Completed Responses: 196

**Q1. Do you wish to continue the present practise of dividing our meetings between Cap and CJMC? If you answer YES, please proceed to question 4 in the survey.**

Responses	Count	%	Percentage of total respondents
YES	84	42.86%	
NO	84	42.86%	
(Did not answer)	28	14.29%	
<b>Total Responses</b>	<b>196</b>		

**Q2. Would you prefer that we schedule all of our meetings at Cap? If you answer YES, please proceed to question 4 in the survey.**

Responses	Count	%	Percentage of total respondents
YES	37	18.88%	
NO	70	35.71%	
(Did not answer)	89	45.41%	
<b>Total Responses</b>	<b>196</b>		

**Q3. Would you prefer that we schedule all of our meetings at CJMC?**

Responses	Count	%	Percentage of total respondents
YES	75	38.27%	
NO	27	13.78%	
(Did not answer)	94	47.96%	
<b>Total Responses</b>	<b>196</b>		

Response No :	Response Text
3	If no. 3 is not the choice then I revert to no. 1.
9	Parking is a problem at both locations. I don't mind walking from the lower parking lot at Cap. I much prefer the ambiance at Capilano and find it more conducive for socializing before meetings.
16	CMJJC seems roomier and better access from the parking lot. On a more selfish note it is handier for myself.
17	It is my understanding that CAP, although a pleasant venue. is approximately double the cost of CJMC, parking is less convenient for older members, and sight lines are poor, due to the pillars. So, I am in favour of scheduling all meetings at CJMC.
18	Members are having difficulty getting from parking lot to meeting at Capilano
21	Much better meeting space and room to get around and connect with other members before the meeting which is one of the reasons I like Probus. Better sight-lines to graphics etc,, without looking through posts. I also don't like walking up hills at my age so CJMC has better parking for me. To cap it all off I also like the better rate structure of CJMC. While not a big deal when divided between all of our members we might as well save money where we can.
22	Cap lacks adequate capacity for our club. The crowded conditions and poor site lines detract from the meeting experience
24	Love he meetings but not at Mathias
26	Better venue...projection and more comfortable chairs.
29	Cap is nice however if you are at the back visibility is poor This could be improved if there were two side monitors as well as a central screen
32	Except for parking limitations during the warmer months, my preference would be all meetings at Cap. So the split is a good compromise.
33	Access is easier for me and many others at the CJMC. Having a consistent venue also removes the need for reminders. Cap has been a wonderful venue, but as we age access is a major factor.
34	Cap meeting room is too small and poorly laid out. Parking at Cap is poor (I'm sick and tired of having to walk up a hill). CJMC is a much better location, with better parking and a better meeting room.
39	Better parking and closer
41	Better sight lines, accessibility, and affordability. Also larger capacity

42 I find the CJMC to be a very cold and impersonal venue which does not foster the feelings of fellowship and camaraderie that Probes is all about

43 None

45 CJMC is a much better venue for our meetings, Perhaps a good compromise for those who like Cap would be to have the Christmas lunch at Cap.

48 If the meetings were to all be at one venue, I would prefer CJMC as the venue.

50 Slight preference. Don't feel strongly.

52 CJMC is less cramped than Cap.

53 As long as there is adequate parking at all times

54 I am ambivalent about the meeting location.

55 The division of location is parking and cost driven Since these two factors are unlikely to change we continue as presently arranged

58 CJMC has better sight-lines and I like the tables. If providing the food is a pain for the organizers, forget it. It is early morning not mid morning and you should not be expected to provide breakfast. But coffee is a must!

63 better facility and parking

64 Whatever you chose is fine with me.

65 It is a much nicer setting. The sound clarity is better. The screen viewing is good. We are much closer to the speaker and the area is more intimate. The only problem is the up hill distance to the entry if not parking up higher although the golf activity is eliminated in the Monday morning time.

66 The flatter parking area is better for many of the members.

67 Although I prefer Cap. for meetings I guess we have to be guided by the costs being less at CJMC. By choice I think I would prefer Cap.

68 The CJMC environment is not a suitable for meetings in my opinion.

69 If compelled to go to one venue, I would prefer CJMC.

70 Improve, (simplify), the audio/visual system at CJMC.

76 CJMC has all the conveniences we require and easy access.

77 CJMC has far better parking and access for participants. Viewing presenters and power point presentations is superior. Having a table in front of you to use for notes or your coffee cup is superior.

- 81 The two venues work well for the different seasons; I doubt that we could do better at the price.
- 83 Issues such as audio/visual problems & coffee quantity/timing at CJNC need to be worked out.
- 91 It is closer for me and better for parking and socializing beforehand at CJMC
- 92 Prefer Cap, 2nd preference Cap. CJMC. Split i. e. as is.
- 93 There are pillars at cap that blocks the screen
- 95 I WOULD HAVE NO OBJECTIONS TO HAVING ALL OUR MEETINGS AT CJMC, BUT WOULD OBJECT TO HAVING ALL THE MEETINGS AT CAP. I BELIEVE THE CURRENT SPLIT KEEPS EVERYONE HAPPY AND THE PARKING IS CERTAINLY MUCH EASIER IN BOTH SITUATIONS AS WE ARE CURRENTLY DOING.
- 97 The Cap venue is more suited to the number of members participating, making for a better atmosphere. The sound is also better, especially for those with hearing difficulties. The only problem with this venue occurs rarely when there is a very popular talk and many members bring multiple guests. Perhaps there should be a limit of one guest per member. Encouraging car-pooling would also help.
- 99 better closer parking. we would be interested to see the cost comparisons
- 100 We are fortunate to have two suitable venues. Why change it.
- 102 it's half the price and a better meeting space. Why pay more oh we have a lot of cap members who vote for Cap? Lets do the right thing for the club not just the CAP members
- 105 The film screen can be seen by all at CKMC, not so at CAP.
- 107 for the golf season CJMC is reasonable
- 109 CMJC better access & more comfortable
- 111 I have been a member of Probus for one meeting. I do not know why the venue was split, but there must be good reasons, perhaps the weather and the Capilano golfers having precedence over the parking to which they are entitled. The atmosphere at Cap is better than CJMC which is a rather large venue, but still does the job as we do rather fill the CAP venue. Do we have any commitment to CJMC implied or specific?
- 113 with my mobility problems, I find the parking, seating, BR and cloakroom facilities much more comfortable at Cap.
- 114 Better sight lines. No need to "book" seats by leaving articles of clothing on them. May need to provide "overflow" parking.

- 115 Is the cost of the facilities rental an issue? I am somewhat indifferent to the locations but if cost is higher at Cap we should use Mathias. I feel good about the Cap facilities but the site lines there are poor.
- 116 The staff and the meeting space at Cap add a professional air to the meetings. If the choice comes down to budgetary constraints I understand and accept the split format.
- 117 For me the CJMC is too large
- 119 For me a Cap is more convenient
- 120 Xmas luncheon could be at Cap
- 121 No doubt that Cap is "classier" but at CJMC parking is more convenient, there is more seating and better view lines for the speaker and for audio visual support. Don't know the comparative costs but I would assume that CJMC is also more economical.
- 123 Cap venue is more suitable for Fall/Spring meetings. (warmer/"Club atmosphere) First 3/4 rows for hearing/visually challenged members please! CJMC fine for Summer meetings. By changing tables "lengthwise" (as opposed to widthwise) would concentrate members closer to stage and verbal discussions from presenter and questioners easier to follow.
- 124 more room to talk to others better screen
- 125 MAKES NO DIFERNCE TO ME WHERE WE HAVE THE MEETINGS I JUST ENJOY THEM YOU ALWAYS HAVE GREAT SPEAKERS
- 126 I just like the venue better
- 128 I like the golf club, but I don't get lost going to the Rez. Also it is easier for me having a table to write on.
- 129 elegant location, convenient to access and for parking, quiet setting
- 130 Present situation not intolerable but prefer tight Cap room. Better for sense of speaker addressing small group at close quarters than preacher trying to relate to to to the far reaches of a large hall. Compels conversation with other members. Predictable and reliable catering and facilities. Parking not issue as ambulant. If present arrangement continues may be worth considering whether a speaker would better at one venue rather than the other and scheduling accordingly. Reid was great at CJMC
- 136 Both venues work well and I respect the need for Capilano to have more parking space for their members during the golf season

137 There is more room at CJMC, it's easier to mingle with our members, the parking is easier and it is a flat walk from the parking lot. There are no columns to interfere with view of speaker or screen. Sound system needs some improving, however.

142 ninety year olds! Stop pretending to be seventy-five.

149 CJMC has clear space free of columns; Cap offers better parking. So I'm an equal opportunity supporter

150 I like the two venues

152 As decided, I go along for now with our present format of summer and winter venue at Mathias and Capilano Golf Club respectively. However, I did have a quick on line look at both the North Shore Winter Club that offers a banquet room for up to 220 people as well as the Centennial Theatre with seating of upwards of 500. I don't know if either one could be of an alternative at one time or another. Thank you for asking my input.

153 The walk from the parking to the meeting place is very difficult for many of our members. At Capilano,

154 There is more room and there are no hills at CJMC.

155 closer parking at CJMC. More room.

156 Presumably, we save money by dividing the time. Coffee could be better at CJMC.

160 CMJC has much better seating arrangements with the tables for coffee and no visual impediments that exist at Cap

161 Raise our fees slightly if necessary to stay at the Cap location

162 I am in favour of having most if not all our meetings at CJMC on certain conditions. They double ensure that the sound and video system is working properly well before the meeting and they have someone experienced on hand during the meeting. They set up parking for angle parking on the road in front and have someone or two people to control and direct the parking. It also should be more obvious that cars can be driven up to the circle in front of the entrance not have a curb to climb.

164 I have a mild preference for CJMC - bigger space, seating with tables,

170 Just very pleased with the present arrangement as we get the best of both worlds!

171 Better everything except need more than one coffee urn!!

173 Better parking plus better sight lines

174 All is good

178	I think the mix is good. It's easy to say to friends to meet at Cap. but CJMC is a bit of a mouthful. Do they have a nick name that can be used? Great club-great guys-interesting topics.
179	Easier to get to. Can use not so crowded 1st avenue, avoiding Marine Drive's morning rush.
181	Present practice is good ... nice to alternate. If it has to be 100% either CAP or CJMC, I'd say CAP. Good to include at least some CAP. Many thanks for your good work
182	Just find Cap more pleasant to go to.
184	If it's not broken don't fix it
186	Parking is always an issue and splitting the venues seems to work. However, the CJMC needs to be reminded to have someone monitoring our group. The last meeting, I had to go through about 4 backrooms to find someone to provide more coffee. The sound system could use some work?
187	I don't have strong views on this issue. The CJMC has been a good venue but I find it much more convenient to visit Cap provided there is adequate parking. I also prefer the ambience of CAP (even though it can be rather cramped at times.
189	CJMC - easier parking, no hill to climb, better viewing lines and sound, tables to put one's coffee and cake on!
190	I would hope that the Christmas Luncheon would be better at Cap than it was last year
192	The present arrangement is quite adequate and probably cost effective
193	Parking and walking to the venue is easier; sight to see speaker is better
195	The meeting is important. The meeting place is secondary.
196	it has better parking arrangements and no climbing of stairs. In addition there are no posts to contend with .